

Title II Project Application

Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- ☒ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____ | |

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- | | |
|--|---|
| a. Total Acres: <u>2000</u> | b. Total Miles: <u>20</u> (roads and streams) |
| c. No. Structures: _____ | d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): _____ |
| e. No. of Laborer Days: <u>250 x 3 years = 750</u> | |
| f. Other (specify): _____ | |

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 3 months duration/season
8/31/2005 completion for this proposal**16. Target Species Benefitted:** (if applicable) Redband trout, Jenny Creek sucker, Western pond turtle, general range and riparian vegetation condition.**17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?** [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Treatment of noxious weeds is a win-win situation for everyone, as it is in the interest of virtually everyone ranging from Industry to environmentalists.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?**

Dyers Woad is spreading rapidly throughout the Intermountain West displacing native plants and reducing wildlife and livestock forage on rangelands in eight western states. It is especially worrisome because it is able to invade healthy rangelands with or without disturbance. It can grow and thrive on a wide variety of sites. The plant causes significant agricultural loss in areas where it becomes well-established. In Utah, losses due to Dyers Woad are estimated at greater than 2 million dollars annually. Cost-effective control of this weed now while the population is still manageable will offset potential significant losses in the future. Infestation carries significant ecological and fire concerns as well.

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources? Treatment on adjacent non-federal lands is necessary as part of an effective control strategy to minimize re-infestation on treated federal lands.

20. Status of Project Planning

- | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|
| a. NEPA Complete: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| b. If No, give est. date of completion: _____ | | | |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce (contract admin only) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> County Workforce | <input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____ | |

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Title II Project Application

Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 34,800
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, then display by fiscal year
- c. FY02 Request: \$ _____ f. FY05 Request: \$ 34,800
- d. FY03 Request: \$ 30,934 g. FY06 Request: \$ _____
- e. **FY04 Request: \$ 34,800**

(The lesser amount in the FY 03 request was due to an oversight in not including indirect overhead costs in the estimate.)

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation	0	0	0	0
26. Permit Acquisition	0	0	0	0
27. Project Design & Engineering	0	0	0	0
28. Contract Preparation	0	\$1,200	0	\$1,200
29. Contract Administration	0	\$7,000	0	\$7,000
30. Contract Cost	0	0	0	0
31. Workforce Cost	0	\$22,000	0	\$22,000
32. Materials & Supplies	0	\$1,000	0	\$1,000
33. Monitoring		\$600	0	\$600
34. Other	0	0	0	0
35. Project Subtotal	0	\$31,800	0	\$31,800
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)	0	\$3,000	0	\$3,000
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$ 0	\$ 34,800	\$ 0	\$ 34,800

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))

- a. **What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?** Total acres/miles treated will be monitored; plots monitored to determine implementation and effectiveness of treatment; photo monitoring. To be conducted by Ashland RA hydrologic technician or botany personnel.
- b. **How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?** A summary will be prepared showing the number of hours of contract labor accomplished by local employers. This will be accomplished by the Ashland Resource Area hydrologic technician or botany personnel.
- c. **What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?** Photo and plot monitoring will be used to help document reduction in noxious weed populations in the project area. This will be accomplished by the Ashland Resource Area hydrologic technician or botany personnel.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$1200 x 3 years = \$3600

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

